Past and Future of Mirco/Nano-Electronics

December 28, 2010

@Gandhi Institute of Technology
and Management
Bhubaneshwar, Orissa, India

Hiroshi Iwai,
Tokyo Institute of Technology

Tokyo Institute of Technology

Founded in 1881, Promoted to Univ. 1929

Institute Overview

Einstein Visit

Established in 1881→ 130th anniversary in 2011

3 undergraduate schools

School of Science, School of Engineering, School of Bioscience and Biotechnology

7 graduate schools

Science and Engineering Science, Science and Engineering Technology,
Bioscience and Biotechnology, Interdisciplinary Graduate School of Science and Engineering,
Information Science and Engineering, Decision Science and Technology, Innovation Management

Total Number of Students

	Undergraduate	Graduate	Master's	Doctoral	Teaching Staff	Student/Instructor	Staff
Tokyo Inst.	**5,000**	**5,000**	**3,500**	**1,500**	**1,200**	**8.3**	**550**
Per Year	**1,200**		**1,800**	**500**			

International Students



Country	Students
China	403
S. Korea	130
Indonesia	64
Thailand	55
Vietnam	60
Malaysia	28

Total 982

(As of May. 1, 2005)

東京工業大学大学院
総合理工学研究科

複合創造領域

Innovative Platform for Education and Research

先端ナノエレクトロニクス研究コアユニット研究室メンバー

Nanoelectronics Research Frontier Core Unit

（2010年10月1日現在）

教員（10人）



Simon Min Sze









技術員（1人）

博士研究員（1人）

Milan Kumar Bera

博士学生（19人）













Maimaitirexiati Maimaiti (D3)



Abudukelimu Abudureheman (D3)

















Mokhammad Sholihul Hadi (D1)



修士学生（26人）











Dou Chunmeng (M2)





Li Wei (M1)





学部生（3人）





研究風景

Deposition


Lithography


Etching

Analysis

Measurement


Annealing

Office

Cluster tool for high-k thin film deposition



- There were many inventions in the 20th century:

  Airplane, Nuclear Power generation, Computer,

  Space aircraft, etc

- However, everything has to be controlled by electronics
- Electronics

  Most important invention in the 20th century

- What is Electronics: To use electrons,

  Electronic Circuits


Lee De Forest

Electronic Circuits started by the invention of vacuum tube (Triode) in 1906





Same mechanism as that of transistor

4 wives of Lee De Forest

1906 Lucille Sheardown
1907 Nora Blatch
1912 Mary Mayo, singer
1930 Marie Mosquini, silent film actress

Mary

Marie

First Computer Eniac: made of huge number of vacuum tubes 1946
Big size, huge power, short life time filament

→ dreamed of replacing vacuum tube with solid-state device

Today's pocket PC made of semiconductor has much higher performance with extremely low power consumption

History of Semiconductor devices

1947, 1st Point Contact Bipolar Transistor:
Ge Semiconductor, Bardeen, Brattin
→ Nobel Prize

1948, 1st Junction Bipolar Transistor,
Ge Semiconductor, Schokley
→ Nobel Prize

1958, 1st Integrated Circuits,
Ge Semiconductor, J.Kilby → Nobel Prize

1959, 1st Planar Integrated Circuits,
R.Noice

1960, 1st MOS Transistor, Kahng,
Si Semiconductor

1963, 1st CMOS Circuits, C.T. Sah and F. Wanlass

J. E. LILIENFELD

DEVICES FOR CONTROLLED ELECTRIC CURRENT

Filed March 28, 1928



J.E.LILIENFELD

Capacitor structure with notch









0 bias for gate

Positive bias for gate





However, no one could realize MOSFET operation for more than 30 years.

Because of very bad interface property between the semiconductor and gate insulator

Even Shockley!

Very bad interface property between the semiconductor and gate insulator



Drain Current was several orders of magnitude smaller than expected

Even Shockley!

However, they found amplification phenomenon when investigating Ge surface when putting needles.
This is the 1st Transistor:
Not Field Effect Transistor,
But Bipolar Transistor (another mechanism)

1947: 1st transistor

J. Bardeen W. Bratten,



Bipolar using Ge

W. Shockley

1958: 1st Integrated Circuit

Jack S. Kilby

Connect 2 bipolar transistors in the Same substrate by bonding wire.



1960: First MOSFET
by D. Kahng and M. Atalla

Top View





Si/SiO2 Interface is extraordinarily good

1970,71: 1st generation of LSIs

DRAM Intel 1103



MPU Intel 4004



MOS LSI experienced continuous progress for many years

	Name of Integrated Circuits	Number of Transistors
1960s	IC (Integrated Circuits)	~ 10
1970s	LSI (Large Scale Integrated Circuit)	~1,000
1980s	VLSI (Very Large Scale IC)	~10,000
1990s	ULSI (Ultra Large Scale IC)	~1,000,000
2000s	?LSI (? Large Scale IC)	~1000,000,000

Gate Electrode
Poly Si

Gate Insulator
SiO_2

Substrate
Si

MOSFET: Metal Oxide Semiconductor Field Effect Transistor

Use Gate Field Effect for switching

Gate Electrode
Poly Si

Gate Insulator
SiO_2

Source

n-Si

n-Si

Drain

p-Si

Si
Substrate

Channel

N-MOS (N-type MOSFET)


N-MOS
(N-type MOSFET)
Gate
Source
n-Si
n-Si
Drain
Electron flow
p-Si
Current flow


P-MOS
(P-type MOSFET)
Gate
Source
p-Si
p-Si
Drain
Hole flow
n-Si
Current flow

CMOS

Complimentary MOS



When NMOS is ON, PMOS is OFF

When PMOS is ON, NMOS is OFF

Needless to say, but….

CMOS Technology:

Indispensible for our human society

Al the human activities are controlled by CMOS

living, production, financing, telecommunication, transportation, medical care, education, entertainment, etc.

Without CMOS:

There is no computer in banks, and

world economical activities immediately stop.

Cellarer phone dose not exists

Downsizing of the components has been the driving force for circuit evolution

1900	1950	1960	1970	2000
Vacuum Tube	Transistor	IC	LSI	ULSI
10 cm	cm	mm	10 μm	100 nm
10^{-1}m	10^{-2}m	10^{-3}m	10^{-5}m	10^{-7}m

In 100 years, the size reduced by one million times.
There have been many devices from stone age.
We have never experienced such a tremendous reduction of devices in human history.

Downsizing

1. **Reduce Capacitance**

→ **Reduce switching time of MOSFETs**

→ **Increase clock frequency**

→ **Increase circuit operation speed**

2. **Increase number of Transistors**

→ **Parallel processing**

→ **Increase circuit operation speed**

Downsizing contribute to the performance increase in double ways

Thus, downsizing of Si devices is the most important and critical issue.

Scaling Method: by R. Dennard in 1974



Wdep: Space Charge Region (or Depletion Region) Width

Wdep has to be suppressed
Otherwise, large leakage between S and D



Leakage current

Potential in space charge region is high, and thus, electrons in source are attracted to the space charge region.

K=0.7 for example



X , Y , Z : K, V : K, Na : 1/K

By the scaling, Wdep is suppressed in proportion, and thus, leakage can be suppressed.

→ Good scaled I-V characteristics



$$\text{Wdep} \propto \sqrt{V/Na}$$
: K



I : K

Downscaling merit: Beautiful!

Geometry & Supply voltage	L_g, W_g T_{ox}, V_{dd}	K	**Scaling K : K=0.7 for example**
Drive current in saturation	I_d	K	$I_d = v_{sat} W_g C_o (V_g - V_{th})$ C_o: gate C per unit area → $W_g (t_{ox}^{-1})(V_g - V_{th}) = W_g t_{ox}^{-1}(V_g - V_{th}) = KK^{-1}K = K$
I_d per unit W_g	$I_d/\mu m$	1	I_d per unit $W_g = I_d / W_g = 1$
Gate capacitance	C_g	K	$C_g = \varepsilon_o \varepsilon_{ox} L_g W_g / t_{ox}$ → $KK/K = K$
Switching speed	τ	K	$\tau = C_g V_{dd} / I_d$ → $KK/K = K$
Clock frequency	f	1/K	$f = 1/\tau = 1/K$
Chip area	A_{chip}	α	α: Scaling factor → **In the past, $\alpha > 1$ for most cases**
Integration (# of Tr)	N	α/K^2	N → α/K^2 **$= 1/K^2$, when $\alpha = 1$**
Power per chip	P	α	$fNCV^2/2$ → $K^{-1}(\alpha K^{-2}) K (K^1)^2 = \alpha$ **= 1, when $\alpha = 1$**

$k = 0.7$ and $\alpha = 1$	$k = 0.7^2 = 0.5$ and $\alpha = 1$
Single MOFET Vdd → 0.7 Lg → 0.7 Id → 0.7 Cg → 0.7 P (Power)/Clock → $0.7^3 = 0.34$ τ (Switching time) → 0.7	Vdd → 0.5 Lg → 0.5 Id → 0.5 Cg → 0.5 P (Power)/Clock → $0.5^3 = 0.125$ τ (Switching time) → 0.5
Chip N (# of Tr) → $1/0.7^2 = 2$ f (Clock) → $1/0.7 = 1.4$ P (Power) → 1	N (# of Tr) → $1/0.5^2 = 4$ f (Clock) → $1/0.5 = 2$ P (Power) → 1

31

Actual past downscaling trend until year 2000





Source. Iwai and S. Ohmi, Microelectronics Reliability 42 (2002), pp.1251-1268

Past 30 years scaling

Merit: N, f increase

Demerit: P increase

V_{dd} scaling insufficient

⇩

Additional significant increase in I_d, f, P

Change in 30 years

	Ideal scaling	Real Change		Ideal scaling	Real Change		Ideal scaling	Real Change
L_g	K	10^{-2}	I_d	K (10^{-2})	10^{-1}	f	$1/K(10^2)$	10^3
t_{ox}	$K(10^{-2})$	10^{-2}	I_d/μm	1	10^1	P = $f\alpha NCV^2$	$\alpha(10^1)$	10^5
V_{dd}	$K(10^{-2})$	10^{-1}	N	$\alpha/K^2(10^5)$	10^4			
A_{chip}	α	10^1						

Vd scaling insufficient, α increased ➞ N, Id, f, P increased significantly

Many people wanted to say about the limit.
Past predictions were not correct!!

Period	Expected limit(size)	Cause
Late 1970's	1μm:	SCE
Early 1980's	0.5μm:	S/D resistance
Early 1980's	0.25μm:	Direct-tunneling of gate SiO_2
Late 1980's	0.1μm:	'0.1μm brick wall'(various)
2000	50nm:	'Red brick wall' (various)
2000	10nm:	Fundamental?

Historically, many predictions of the limit of downsizing.

VLSI text book written 1979 predict that 0.25 micro-meter would be the limit because of direct-tunneling current through the very thin-gate oxide.



C. Mead L. Conway

VLSI textbook

Finally, there appears to be a fundamental limit [10] of approximately quarter micron channel length, where certain physical effects such as the tunneling through the gate oxide ..... begin to make the devices of smaller dimension unworkable.

Direct-tunneling effect





Direct tunneling leakage current start to flow when the thickness is 3 nm.



Direct tunneling leakage was found to be OK! In 1994!

MOSFETs with 1.5 nm gate oxide

Lg = 10 μm

Lg = 5 μm

Lg = 1.0 μm

Lg = 0.1μm

Id (mA / μm)

0.03 0.02 0.01 0.00 0.01 -0.4

Vg = 2.0V 1.5 V 1.0 V 0.5 V 0.0 V

0.08 0.06 0.04 0.02 0.00 -0.02

Vg = 2.0V 1.5 V 1.0 V 0.5 V 0.0 V

0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 0.0 -0.1

Vg = 2.0V 1.5 V 1.0 V 0.5 V 0.0 V

1.6 1.2 0.8 0.4 0.0 -0.4

Vg = 2.0V 1.5 V 1.0 V 0.5 V 0.0 V

0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5

Vd (V)



Gate leakage: Ig ∝ Gate Area ∝ Gate length (Lg)

Drain current: Id ∝ 1/Gate length (Lg)

Lg → small,

Then, Ig → small, Id → large, Thus, Ig/Id → very small

Id



Do not believe a text book statement, blindly!

Never Give Up!

No one knows future!

There would be a solution!

Think, Think, and Think!

Or, Wait the time!

Some one will think for you

Transistor Scaling Continues



Qi Xinag, ECS 2004, AMD

Downsizing limit?

Channel length?





5 nm gate length CMOS

Is a Real Nano Device!!



Length of 18 Si atoms



H. Wakabayashi et.al, NEC

IEDM, 2003





Electron wave length

10 nm



Tunneling distance

3 nm



<u>Downsizing limit!</u>

Channel length

Gate oxide thickness



Prediction now!

Electron wave length

10 nm



Tunneling distance

3 nm



Atom distance

0.3 nm



MOSFET operation

Lg = 2 ~ 1.5 nm?

Below this, no one knows future!

Ultimate limitation



Lg: Gate length downsizing will continue to another 10-15 years

0.8 nm Gate Oxide Thickness MOSFETs operates!!

0.8 nm: Distance of 3 Si atoms!!



- 1.2nm physical SiO2 in production (90nm logic node)
- 0.8nm physical SiO2 in research transistors

By Robert Chau, IWGI 2003

So, we are now in the limitation of downsizing?

Do you believe this or do not?

There is a solution! K: Dielectric Constant
To use high-k dielectrics



However, very difficult and big challenge!

Remember MOSFET had not been realized without Si/SiO_2!

Choice of High-k elements for oxide

Candidates

● Gas or liquid at 1000 K

○ Radio active

Unstable at Si interface

① Si + MO_X M + SiO_2

② Si + MO_X MSi_X + SiO_2

③ Si + MO_X M + MSi_XO_Y

● H																	● He
Li	Be											● B	● C	● N	● O	● F	● Ne
① Na	Mg											Al	Si	● P	● S	● Cl	● Ar
K	Ca	Sc	② Ti	① V	① Cr	① Mn	① Fc	① Co	① Ni	① Cu	① Zn	① Ga	① Ge	● As	● Se	● Br	● Kr
● Rh	Sr	Y	Zr	① Nb	① Mo	Tc	① Ru	① Rb	① Pd	● Ag	① Cd	① In	① Sn	① Sb	① Te	● I	● Xe
● Cs	③ Ba	★	Hf	① Ta	① W	① Re	① Os	① Ir	● Pt	● Au	● Hg	● Tl	① Pb	① Bi	○ Po	○ At	○ Rn
○ Fr	○ Ra	☆	○ Rf	○ Ha	○ Sg	○ Ns	○ Hs	○ Mt									

★	La	Ce	Pr	Nd	○ Pm	Sm	Eu	Gd	Tb	Dy	Ho	Er	Tm	Yb	Lu
☆	○ Ac	○ Th	○ Pa	○ U	○ Np	○ Pu	○ Am	○ Cm	○ Bk	○ Cf	○ Es	○ Fm	○ Md	○ No	○ Lr

HfO_2 based dielectrics are selected as the first generation materials, because of their merit in
1) band-offset,
2) dielectric constant
3) thermal stability

La_2O_3 based dielectrics are thought to be the next generation materials, which may not need a thicker interfacial layer

R. Hauser, IEDM Short Course, 1999
Hubbard and Schlom, J Mater Res 11 2757 (1996)

Conduction band offset vs. Dielectric Constant



XPS measurement by Prof. T. Hattori, INFOS 2003

High-k gate insulator MOSFETs for Intel: EOT=1nm

EOT: Equivalent Oxide Thickness










(Scaling)
P∝Lg3
For the past 45 years
SiO2 and SiON
For gate insulator
Metal
SiO2/SiON
Si
Metal
HfO2
SiO2/SiON
Si
0.5～0.7nm
Introduction of High-k
45nm node
Lg=22nm
Still SiO2 or SiON
Is used at Si interface
Power per MOSFET (P)
Today
EOT=1.0nm
EOT Limit
0.7~0.8 nm
Metal
High-k
Si
One order of Magnitude
EOT=0.5nm
Direct Contact
Of high-k and Si
EOT can be reduced further beyond 0.5 nm by using direct contact to Si
By choosing appropriate materials and processes.
Now
Year

SiO_x-IL growth at HfO_2/Si Interface





Phase separator





H. Shimizu, JJAP, 44, pp. 6131



Oxygen supplied from W gate electrode

D.J.Lichtenwalner, Tans. ECS 11, 319

SiO_x-IL is formed after annealing

Oxygen control is required for optimizing the reaction

Choice of High-k elements for oxide

Candidates (highlighted)

● Gas or liquid at 1000 K

○ Radio active

Unstable at Si interface

① Si + MO_X → M + SiO_2

② Si + MO_X → MSi_X + SiO_2

③ Si + MO_X → M + MSi_XO_Y

● H; ● He

Li Be; ● B, ● C, ● N, ● O, ● F, ● Ne

① Na, Mg; Al Si; ● P, ● S, ● Cl, ● Ar

K, Ca, Sc, ② Ti, ① V, ① Cr, ① Mn, ① Fc, ① Co, ① Ni, ① Cu, ① Zn, ① Ga, ① Ge, ● As, ● Se, ● Br, ● Kr

● Rh, Sr, Y, Zr, ① Nb, ① Mo, Tc, ① Ru, ① Rb, ① Pd, ● Ag, ① Cd, ① In, ① Sn, ① Sb, ① Te, ● I, ● Xe

● Cs, ③ Ba, ★, Hf, ① Ta, ① W, ① Re, ① Os, ① Ir, ● Pt, ● Au, ● Hg, ● Tl, ① Pb, ① Bi, ○ Po, ○ At, ○ Rn

○ Fr, ○ Ra, ☆, ○ Rf, ○ Ha, ○ Sg, ○ Ns, ○ Hs, ○ Mt

★ La, Ce, Pr, Nd, ○ Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb, Lu

☆ ○ Ac, ○ Th, ○ Pa, ○ U, ○ Np, ○ Pu, ○ Am, ○ Cm, ○ Bk, ○ Cf, ○ Es, ○ Fm, ○ Md, ○ No, ○ Lr

HfO_2 based dielectrics are selected as the first generation materials, because of their merit in
1) band-offset,
2) dielectric constant
3) thermal stability

La_2O_3 based dielectrics are thought to be the next generation materials, which may not need a thicker interfacial layer

R. Hauser, IEDM Short Course, 1999
Hubbard and Schlom, J Mater Res 11 2757 (1996)

La-Silicate Reaction at La_2O_3/Si

Direct contact high-k/Si is possible



La_2O_3 + Si + nO_2
→ La_2SiO_5, $La_2Si_2O_7$,
$La_{9.33}Si_6O_{26}$, $La_{10}(SiO_4)_6O_3$, etc.

La_2O_3 can achieve direct contact of high-k/Si

Gate Leakage vs EOT, (Vg=|1|V)



Quantum Effect in Gate Stack



- A question if the performance improvement can be obtained with EOT<0.5nm
- Is EOT<0.5nm achievable?

EOT = 0.48 nm

Our results

Transistor with La_2O_3 gate insulator



EOT=0.37nm

La2O3



0.48 →0.37nm Increase of Id at 30%

μ_{eff} of W/La_2O_3 and W/HfO_2 nFET on EOT



- W/La_2O_3 exhibits higher μ_{eff} than W/HfO_2
- μ_{eff} start degrades below EOT=1.4nm

FET characteristics of W/La_2O_3 on EOT



N_{fix}=7x10^{12} cm^{-2}

Aggressive N_{fix} generation at EOT<1.2nm



N_{fix} and D_{it}

All characteristics start to degrade or shift below EOT=1.4nm

Gate Metal Induced Defects Compensation









TEM

EDX

Suppression of aggressive shift in V_{fb}

Mobility Improvement with Mg Incorporation



Recovery of μ_{eff} mainly at low E_{eff}

6 μm NMOS LSI in 1974



magnification



Layers	Materials	Atoms
1. Si substrate	1. Si	1. Si
2. Field oxide	2. SiO_2	2. O
3. Gate oxide	3. BPSG	3. P
4. Poly Si	4. Al	4. B
5. S/D	5. PSG	5. Al
6. Interlayer		(H, N, Cl)
7. Aluminum		
8. Passivation		

New materials

Just examples!
Many other candidates



Y. Nishi, Si Nano Workshop, 2006,

(S. Sze, Based on invited talk at Stanford Univ., Aug. 1999)

What is a roadmap?　What is ITRS?

Roadmap: Prediction of future technologies

ITRS: International Technology Roadmap for Semiconductors made by SIA (Semiconductor Industry Association with Collaboration with Japan, Europe, Korea and Taiwan)



1992 -1997:NTRS (National Technology Roadmap)
1998 - : ITRS (International Technology Roadmap)



Question:

How far we can go with downscaling?

-There will be still 4~6 cycles (or technology generations) left until we reach 11 ~ 5.5 nm technologies, at which we will reach down-scaling limit, in some year between 2020-30 (H. Iwai, IWJT2008).

-Even After reaching the down-scaling limit, we could still continue R & D, seeking sufficiently higher Id-sat under low Vdd.

-Two candidates have emerged for R & D

1. Nanowire/tube MOSFETs
2. Alternative channel MOSFETs (III-V, Ge)

- Other Beyond CMOS devices are still in the cloud.



ITRS figure edited by Iwai

Source: 2008 ITRS Summer Public Conf.

*5.5nm? was added by Iwai

How far can we go?

Past

0.7 times per 3 years

In 40 years: 15 generations,
Size 1/200, Area 1/40,000

1973年

Now

8µm → 6µm → 4µm → 3µm → 2µm → 1.2µm → 0.8µm → 0.5µm

→ 0.35µm → 0.25µm → 180nm → 130nm → 90nm → 65nm → 45nm

Future

→ 32nm → 22nm → 16nm → 11.5 nm → 8nm → 5.5nm? → 4nm? → 2.9 nm?

- **At least 5,6 generations, for 15 ~ 20 years**
- **Hopefully 8 generations, for 30 years**

HP, LOP, LSTP for Logic CMOS



Source: 2007 ITRS Winter Public Conf.

Because of off-leakage control,

Planar → Fin→ Nanowire



Planar FET

Fin FET

Nanowire FET

Nanowire FET



First Year of IC Production	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Device Structures																
Planar bulk																
FDSOI																
Multiple Gate																

Nanowire FET

Multiple Gate (Fin)FET

ITRS 2009

Bulk → Fin → Nanowire



Si nanowire FET as a strong candidate

1. Compatibility with current CMOS process

2. Good controllability of I_{OFF}

3. High drive current



1D ballistic conduction

Multi quantum Channel



High integration of wires




Off Current
Ioff (nA/um)
Ion (uA/um)
10000
1000
100
10
1
0
1000
2000
3000
4000
bulk
FinFET
SiNWFET
ITRS(Planer)
ITRS(SOI)
ITRS(DG)
DG
ITRS
(Bulk)
ITRS (SOI)
ITRS (DG)
Bulk
dia~10nm
Si Nanowire
dia~3nm

Increase the Number of quantum channels

By Prof. Shiraishi of Tsukuba univ.



Energy band of Bulk Si



Energy band of 3 x 3 Si wire

Maximum number of wires per 1 μm

Front gate type MOS 165 wires /μm



Surrounded gate type MOS 33 wires/μm









Surrounded gate MOS

Device fabrication



Si/$Si_{0.8}Ge_{0.2}$ superlattice epitaxy on SOI

Anisotropic etching of these layers

Isotropic etching of SiGe

The NW diameter is controllable down to 5 nm by self limited oxidation.







Gate depositions

HfO_2 (3nm)
TiN (10nm)
Poly-Si (200nm)

Gate etching

S/D implantation
Spacer formation
Activation anneal
Salicidation

Standard Back-End of-Line Process





Process Details :
C. Dupre *et al.*,
IEDM Tech. Dig., p.749, 2008

3D-stacked Si NWs with Hi-*k*/MG



SiNW Band structure calculation

Cross section of Si NW

First principal calculation,



D=1.96nm [001]

D=1.94nm [011]

D=1.93nm [111]

Si nanowire FET with 1D Transport





Small mass with [011]

Large number of quantum channels with [001]

Atomic models of a Si quantum dot and Si nanowires







RSDFT – suitable for parallel first-principles calculation -

- ✓Real-Space Finite-Difference
- ✓Sparse Matrix
- ✓FFT free (FFT is inevitable in the conventional plane-wave code)
- ✓MPI (Message Passing Interface) library

Higher-order finite difference pseudopotential method
J. R. Chelikowsky et al., Phys. Rev. B, (1994)

3D grid is divided by several regions for parallel computation.

Kohn-Sham eq. (finite-difference)

$$\left(-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 + v_s[\rho](\mathbf{r}) + \hat{v}_{nloc}^{PP}(\mathbf{r})\right)\phi_n(\mathbf{r}) = \varepsilon_n \phi_n(\mathbf{r})$$

Higher-order finite difference

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi_n(x,y,z) \approx \sum_{m=-6}^{6} C_m \psi_n(x+m\Delta x, y, z)$$

MPI_ISEND, MPI_IRECV

Integration

$$\int \psi_m(\mathbf{r})\psi_n(\mathbf{r})d\mathbf{r} \approx \sum_{i=1}^{Mesh} \psi_m(\mathbf{r}_i)\psi_n(\mathbf{r}_i)\Delta x \Delta y \Delta z$$

MPI_ALLREDUCE



Massively Parallel Computing

with our recently developed code “RSDFT”

Iwata et al, J. Comp. Phys., to be published

Real-Space Density-Functional Theory code (RSDFT)

Based on the finite-difference pseudopotential method (J. R. Chelikowsky et al., PRB1994)

Highly tuned for massively parallel computers

Computations are done on a massively-parallel cluster **PACS-CS** at University of Tsukuba.

(Theoretical Peak Performance = 5.6GFLOPS/node)

e.g.) The system over 10,000 atoms → $Si_{10701}H_{1996}$

(7.6 nm diameter Si dot)

Convergence behavior for $Si_{10701}H_{1996}$

Grid points = 3,402,059

Bands = 22,432



Computational Time (with 1024 nodes of PACS-CS

6781 sec. ✕ 60 iteration step = 113 hour

Band Structure and DOS of Si(100)NWs (D=1nm, 4nm, and 8nm)







D=1 nm
Si21H20 (41 atoms)
KS band gap=2.60eV

D = 4 nm
Si341H84 (425 atoms)
KS band gap = 0.81eV

D=8 nm
Si1361H164 (1525 atoms)
KS band gap=0.61eV

KS band gap of bulk (LDA) = 0.53eV

Band structure of 8-nm-diameter Si nanowire near the CBM

- KS band gap = 0.608 eV (@Γ)





Effective mass equation

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_t^*}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}+\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}\right)-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_l^*}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}\right]\Phi(\mathbf{r})=(\varepsilon-\varepsilon_{CBM})\Phi(\mathbf{r})$$

The band structure can be understood that electrons near the CBM in the bulk Si are Confined within a cylindrical geometry.

Si nano wire with surface roughness



Si12822H1544 (14,366 atoms)

- 10nm diameter、3.3nm height、(100)
- Grid spacing：0.45Å (~14Ry)
- # of grid points：4,718,592
- # of bands：29,024
- Memory：1,022GB～2,044GB

SiNW Band compact model

Landauer Formalism for Ballistic FET





$$I_D = \frac{q}{\pi\hbar}\sum_i \int [f(E,\mu_S) - f(E,\mu_D)] T_i(E)\,dE$$

From x_{max} to x_{min} $\quad T_i(E) \approx 1$

$$I_D = G_0\left(\frac{k_B T}{q}\right)\sum_i g_i \ln\left\{\frac{1+\exp[(\mu_S - E_{i0})/k_B T]}{1+\exp[(\mu_D - E_{i0})/k_B T]}\right\}$$

IV Characteristics of Ballistic SiNW FET



Small temperature dependency
35μA/wire for 4 quantum channels

Model of Carrier Scattering

Linear Potential Approx. : Electric Field E



Transmission Probability to Drain

$$T(\varepsilon) = \left.\frac{F(0) - G(0)}{F(0)}\right)_{\text{Injection from Drain}=0}$$

Résumé of the Compact Model

$$I = \frac{q}{\pi\hbar}\sum_i g_i \int \left[f(\varepsilon,\mu_s) - f(\varepsilon,\mu_D) \right] T_i \, d\varepsilon$$

$$C_G = \frac{2\pi\varepsilon_{ox}}{\ln\left\{\frac{\sqrt{2r+t_{ox}}+\sqrt{t_{ox}}}{\sqrt{2r+t_{ox}}-\sqrt{t_{ox}}}\right\}}.$$

Planar Gate

$$(V_G - V_t) - \alpha\frac{\mu_S - \mu_0}{q} = \frac{\left|Q_f + Q_b\right|}{C_G}.$$

(Electrostatics requirement)

$$\mu_S - \mu_D = qV_D$$

$$C_G = \frac{2\pi\varepsilon_{ox}}{\ln\left(\frac{r+t_{ox}}{r}\right)}.$$

GAA

$$\left|Q_f + Q_b\right| = \frac{q}{\pi}\sum_i g_i \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{1+\exp\left\{\frac{\varepsilon_i(k)-\mu_S}{k_B T}\right\}} - \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left\{ \frac{1}{1+\exp\left\{\frac{\varepsilon_i(k)-\mu_S}{k_B T}\right\}} - \frac{1}{1+\exp\left\{\frac{\varepsilon_i(k)-\mu_D}{k_B T}\right\}} \right\} T_i(\varepsilon_i(k))\,dk \right]$$

(Carrier distribution in Subbands)

$$T(\varepsilon) = \frac{\sqrt{2D_0}\,qE}{\left(\sqrt{B_0+D_0}+\sqrt{D_0}\right)qE + \sqrt{2mD_0}\,B_0\ln\left(\frac{qEx_0+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right)}$$

Unknowns are I_D, $(\mu_S - \mu_0)$, $(\mu_D - \mu_0)$, $(Q_f + Q_b)$

I-V_D Characteritics (RT)



- Electric current 20～25 μA
- No satruration at Large V_D

SiNW FET Fabrication

SiNW FET Fabrication

- S/D & Fin Patterning



- Sacrificial Oxidation



- Oixde etch back
- SiN sidewall support formation



- Gate Oxidation & Poly-Si Deposition
- Gate Lithography & RIE Etching
- Gate Sidewall Formation
- Ni SALISIDE Process (Ni 9nm / TiN 10nm)
- Backend

Standard recipe for gate stack formation

(a) SEM image of Si NW FET (Lg = 200nm)
(b) high magnification observation of gate and its sidewall.



Fabricated SiNW FET







Recent results to be presented by ESSDERC 2010 next week in Sevile

Wire cross-section: 20 nm X 10 nm





On/Off>10^6、60uA/wire

L_g=65nm, T_{ox}=3nm

Bench Mark



Bench Mark

	This work	Ref[11]	Ref[12]	Ref[13]	Ref[14]	Ref[15]	Ref[4]
NW Cross-section (nm)	Rect.	Rect.	Rect.	Cir.	Cir.	Elliptical	Elliptical
NW Size (nm)	10x20	10x20	14	10	10	12	13x20
Lg (nm)	65	25	100	30	8	65	35
EOT or Tox (nm)	3	1.8	1.8	2	4	3	1.5
Vdd (V)	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.0
Ion(uA) per wire	60.1	102	30.3	26.4	37.4	48.4	43.8
Ion(uA/um) by dia.	3117	5010	2170	2640	3740	4030	2592
Ion(uA/um) by cir.	1609	2054	430	841	1191	1283	825
SS (mV/dec.)	70	79	68	71	75	~75	85
DIBL (mV/V)	62	56	15	13	22	40-82	65
Ion/Ioff	~1E6	>1E6	>1E5	~1E6	>1E7	>1E7	~2E5

Ref[11] by Stmicro Lg=25nm,Tox=1.8nm

This work Lg=65nm,Tox=3nm

I_{ON}/I_{OFF} Bench mark



Planer FET  1.0〜1.1V

S. Kamiyama, IEDM 2009, p. 431
P. Packan, IEDM 2009, p.659

SiナノワイヤFET  1.2〜1.3V

Y. Jiang, VLSI 2008, p.34
H.-S. Wong, VLSI 2009, p.92
S. Bangsaruntip, IEDM 2009, p.297
C. Dupre, IEDM 2008, p. 749
S.D.Suk, IEDM 2005, p.735
G.Bidel, VLSI 2009, p.240



Effective Electron Mobility (cm²/Vs)
600
400
200
0
0
5x10¹²
10¹³
1.5x10¹³
Inversion Carrier Density (cm⁻²)
A₁ (12x19)
h_NW x w_NW (nm²)
A₂ (12x28)
B (20x10)
SOI Planar
T_SOI=28 nm
A₁
20 nm
h_NW: 12nm
w_NW: 19 nm
B
h_NW: 20nm
w_NW: 10 nm
SOI planar
T_SOI= 28 nm
W=1 µm
BOX



Metal
12 nm
19 nm
SiO2
(a)
44 %
Electron density: Log |x| (cm-3)
20
19.6
19.2
18.8
18.4
18
17.6
17.2
16.8
16.4
16
12 nm
Vg=1V
39 nm
(b)
Inversion areal ratio: 29 %



Electron Density
(x10^19cm^-3)
6
5
4
3
2
1
0
Edge portion
Flat portion
0
2
4
6
8
Distance from SiNW Surface (nm)

Primitive estimation !



Our roadmap for R &D

Source: H. Iwai, IWJT 2008



Current Issues

Si Nanowire

Control of wire surface property

Source Drain contact

Optimization of wire diameter

Compact I-V model

III-V & Ge Nanowire

High-k gate insulator

Wire formation technique

CNT:

Growth and integration of CNT

Width and Chirality control

Chirality determines conduction types: metal or semiconductor

Graphene:

Graphene formation technique

Suppression of off-current

Very small bandgap or no bandgap (semi-metal)

Control of ribbon edge structure which affects bandgap

Thank you
for your attention!